BOROUGH OF FAR HILLS

Planning Board Regular Meeting MINUTES

February 7, 2022

VIA REMOTE MEETING ACCESS ONLY

CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Rochat called the virtual meeting to order at 7:04 p.m. and read the Open Public Meetings statement in accordance with the law. Those present stood for the pledge of allegiance.

ROLL CALL:

Present: Chairman Tom Rochat, Mayor Paul Vallone, Councilwoman Sheila Tweedie, Vice

Chairman Richard Rinzler, Robert Lewis, John Lawlor, Marilyn Layton, Jack Koury

and Suzanne Humbert, Alt. #1

Also Present: Frank Linnus, Board Attorney, David Banisch, Planner, Steve Bolio, Engineer and

Shana L. Goodchild, Secretary

Absent: None

There were approximately twenty-seven (27) audience members present.

BILL LIST

• February 7, 2022

Vice Chairman Rinzler made a motion to approve the Bill List. Councilwoman Tweedie seconded the motion. The motion carried by the following roll call vote:

Roll Call Vote

Those in Favor: Mayor Paul Vallone, Councilwoman Sheila Tweedie, Vice Chairman Richard

Rinzler, Robert Lewis, John Lawlor, Marilyn Layton, Jack Koury, Suzanne

Humbert, Alt. #1 and Chairman Tom Rochat

Those Opposed: None

MINUTES

• December 6, 2021 Regular Meeting

Councilwoman Tweedie made a motion to approve the minutes of the December 6, 2021 Regular Meeting for content and release. Vice Chairman Rinzler seconded the motion. All were in favor.

January 3, 2022 Re-organization Meeting

Councilwoman Tweedie made a motion to approve the minutes of the January 3, 2022 Reorganization Meeting for content and release. Ms. Layton seconded the motion. All were in favor.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Skip Schwester, Lake Road was present and began to comment on the Pulte Homes application and a financial study he offered to pay for on behalf of the Borough. Mr. Linnus reminded Mr. Schwester that public comment was restricted to any items not listed on the agenda. Mr. Schwester indicated that he was not speaking about the Pulte application but rather a professional financial study for Far Hills and the Board's disregard to the environment and to the original agreement to have the Pulte project serviced by public sewer. Mr. Linnus again interrupted Mr. Schwester to remind him that the Pulte Homes project was subject of the public hearing later in the meeting. He went on to explain that the public was provided the opportunity to comment at the January meeting and that public comment period was closed; any further comments would be subject to the Chairman re-opening the hearing to the public.

There being no additional public comments, Chairman Rochat closed the public comment portion of the meeting.

APPLICATION/PUBLIC HEARING

Appl. No. PB2021-07

Pulte Homes of NJ, Limited Partnership/Residences at Overleigh

Block 5, Lot 4

220 Route 202

Prel./Final Subdivision and Site Plan and Variance

Time for Decision Deadline - 2/7/22

A transcript of the Pulte Homes of NJ, Limited Partnership/Residences at Overleigh public hearing has been attached to the minutes.

RESOLUTIONS

 Resolution No. 2022-10 – Pulte Homes of NJ, Limited Partnership/Residences at Overleigh, Block 5, Lot 4

Those eligible: Mayor Vallone, Councilwoman Tweedie, Vice Chairman Ringler, Mr. Lewis, Ms. Layton, Mr. Koury, Ms. Humbert and Chairman Rochat

Councilwoman Tweedie made a motion to approve the resolution as written. Vice Chairman Rinzler seconded the motion.

The motion carried by the following roll call vote:

Roll Call Vote:

Those in Favor:

Vice Chairman Rinzler, Mayor Vallone, Councilwoman Tweedie, Mr. Lewis,

Ms. Layton, Mr. Koury, Ms. Humbert and Chairman Rochat

Those Opposed:

None

Resolution No. 2022-11 – Jebara – 199 Lake Road, Block 6, Lot 38
 Those eligible: Mayor Vallone, Vice Chairman Ringler, Mr. Lewis, Ms. Layton, Mr. Lawlor, Mr. Koury, Ms. Humbert and Chairman Rochat

Mr. Lewis made a motion to approve the resolution as written. Ms. Layton seconded the motion.

The motion carried by the following roll call vote:

Roll Call Vote:

Those in Favor: Mayor Vallone, Vice Chairman Rinzler, Mr. Lewis, Ms. Layton, Mr. Lawlor,

Mr. Koury, Ms. Humbert and Chairman Rochat

Those Opposed:

None

 Resolution No. 2022-12 – Law Office of Karen M. Spano, LLC – 43 Route 202, Suite 201, Block 15, Lot 4

Those eligible: Mayor Vallone, Councilwoman Tweedie, Vice Chairman Rinzler, Mr. Lewis, Mr. Lawlor, Ms. Layton, Mr. Koury, and Chairman Rochat

Vice Chairman Rinzler made a motion to approve the resolution as written. Mr. Lewis seconded the motion.

The motion carried by the following roll call vote:

Roll Call Vote:

Those in Favor:

Mayor Vallone, Councilwoman Tweedie, Vice Chairman Rinzler, Mr. Lewis,

Mr. Lawlor, Ms. Layton, Mr. Koury and Chairman Rochat

Those Opposed:

None

 Resolution No. 2022-13 – DeFilippo and DeFilippo, LLC – 43 Route 202, Suite 100, Block 15. Lot 4

Those eligible: Mayor Vallone, Councilwoman Tweedie, Vice Chairman Rinzler, Mr. Lewis, Mr. Lawlor, Ms. Layton, Mr. Koury, and Chairman Rochat

Councilwoman Tweedie made a motion to approve the resolution as written. Mr. Lewis seconded the motion.

The motion carried by the following roll call vote:

Roll Call Vote:

Those in Favor:

Mayor Vallone, Councilwoman Tweedie, Vice Chairman Rinzler, Mr. Lewis,

Mr. Lawlor, Ms. Layton, Mr. Koury and Chairman Rochat

Those Opposed:

None

COMPLETENESS DETERMINATION

Appl. No. PB2021-20
 Chateau De Fleur, LLC
 Block 4, Lot 7
 66 Lake Road

PLANNING BOARD MINUTES 2/7/22 Page 3 of 11

Height Variance

Robert Garofalo, Attorney on behalf of the applicant was present and explained that the application is for the construction of a single family dwelling. Mr. Bolio, referencing his letter dated January 14, 2022, confirmed that the appropriate application and escrow fees were submitted. He noted that a partial waiver from topo was requested for the area outside of the disturbance. The applicant's engineer submitted information regarding the soil mapping so a waiver was no longer required.

There being no further questions, Mr. Lewis made a motion to grant the partial waiver and deem the application complete. Councilwoman Tweedie seconded the motion. The motion carried by the following roll call vote:

Roll Call Vote:

Those in Favor:

Vice Chairman Rinzler, Mayor Vallone, Councilwoman Tweedie, Mr. Lawlor,

Mr. Lewis, Ms. Layton, Mr. Koury, Ms. Humbert and Chairman Rochat

Those Opposed:

None

APPLICATIONS/PUBLIC HEARINGS

Appl. No. PB2020-04
 Lakehaus, LLC
 Block 4, Lot 5
 100 Lake Road
 Use and Bulk Variances for Ground Mounted Solar
 Time for Decision Deadline – 2/28/22

Councilwoman Tweedie and Mayor Vallone recused themselves as the application involved a Use Variance.

Frederick Zelley, Attorney on behalf of the applicant was present and reminded the Board that the application was for two (2) ground mounted solar arrays requiring both bulk and use variances. Additionally, bulk variances were being sought for a variety of accessory structures, all but one (1) existed at the time the applicant purchased the property.

John Baggett, Sunrun Solar, 26 Worldsfair Dr., Somerset, NJ was present and sworn in by Mr. Linnus. Mr. Baggett provided his professional background and was accepted by the Board. When asked the scope of the company, Mr. Baggett explained that Sunrun installs and maintains systems for residential homes including assessing the needs and layout of the property. He explained that when designing a system they attempt to meet 100% of the annual usage; the applicant's home uses approximately 51,000 kilowatt hours of electricity per year. A system was designed to provide approximately 41,739 kilowatt hours per year for the home only. When asked about the usage for the barn, Mr. Baggett responded approximately 12,000 kilowatt hours. Using the Share Screen function of Zoom Mr. Baggett displayed page 2 of the blueprint from the solar contractor showing the proposed arrays. He explained that the footings will be concrete and the panels will be mounted to the racking. The original design proposed 92 panels however, higher wattage panels are available and the number of panels was

reduced to 84 south facing panels. When asked the height of the panels at their highest point, Mr. Baggett responded 109 inches (approximately 9 feet). When asked why a roof mounted array could not be designed, Mr. Baggett explained that the existing roof did not provide the optimal orientation necessary nor the space necessary for the number of panels required. When asked about the wiring from the house to the panels, Mr. Baggett explained that underground conduit would be installed in trenching from the house mounted meter to the array. When asked if other locations were considered, Mr. Baggett responded in the positive and confirmed that the proposed location was the only suitable location that met all of the requirements. When asked if considerations were taken to place the array in an area that would have the least visual impact from the public roadways, Mr. Baggett responded in the positive. When asked why two (2) tandem arrays were proposed, Mr. Baggett explained that the design was dictated by the exiting vegetation and the grade of the lot. He went on to explain that the panels generate EC power that is then plugged into an inverter and converted to AC power for use by the home; there will be multiple inverters for multiple arrays.

When asked by Mr. Linnus the number of ground mounted solar arrays he has installed, Mr. Baggett opined that ground mounted arrays represented 1% of his total installations.

Mr. Bolio noted that at the January hearing the engineer indicated that the area beneath the panels would be grass with a gravel dripline. Mr. Zelley agreed to a condition of approval requiring grass with a gravel dripline. Mr. Bolio noted that point #8 (sheet #3) on the plan depicted the panels at 115 inches high. It was recommended that any approval be based on 115 inches and grading could be adjusted, if necessary. When asked if the eastern most array could be moved eight (8) feet to eliminate the need for the rear setback variance, Mr. Baggett responded in the positive.

When asked by Mr. Banisch the dimensions of the footings and the number, Mr. Baggett noted that the footings would be less than a foot in diameter but was unsure of the number. Mr. Banisch requested that the applicant update the table on page two (2) of the plan to provide the calculation. Mr. Zelley confirmed that the maximum impervious coverage for the zone was 5%; the property is less than 2%. With respect to the grass under the arrays, Mr. Banisch recommended a shade tolerant seed mix to which Mr. Zelley agreed.

When asked by Mr. Lewis if the electricity produced would only be used by the house, Mr. Baggett responded in the positive. Mr. Baggett explained that solar in NJ is connected to the utility and a two (2) way meter is used; during the day the array generates surplus power and a credit is established with the utility, the credit is then used at night. When asked if a property owner gets paid for surplus electricity produced, Mr. Baggett responded in the negative and explained that the surplus is banked to be used at a later date; surplus power is applied to future use but if it is never used it is lost. When asked what percentage of properties are deemed unsuitable for solar development, Mr. Baggett responded approximately 10% noting that many factors are assessed including shade, orientation of the house, etc.

When asked by Ms. Humbert to clarify the length of each array, Mr. Baggett responded 54 feet long (east to west).

Referencing the existing white pines along Pennbrook Road, Chairman Rochat expressed concern with the lower limbs dying out and exposing the back of the array. Mr. Banisch noted that the

applicant was very cooperative in providing requested landscaping and suggested a condition for enhanced shade tolerant shrubbery in the short term and replacement of the white pines in the event they die or are removed. Mr. Zelley agreed to that condition.

There being no additional questions from the Board, Chairman Rochat opened the meeting up to the public.

Dr. Mellendick, Lake Road asked about the cost of the installation to which Mr. Zelley objected. When asked if the trenching would lead to Lake Road, Mr. Baggett responded in the negative. When asked if an approval would have conditions relative to landscaping, Mr. Zelley responded in the positive and noted that the applicant already installed landscaping to achieve the desired buffering and would provide additional landscaping as needed.

Mary and Jim Chimenti, 111 Lake Road were present and asked about the depth of the solar arrays. Mr. Baggett responded ten (10) feet deep. Mrs. Chimenti noted that she walks Lake Road daily and gaps in the landscaping exposes the proposed solar location. When asked by Mr. Chimenti the percentage of the array that will be visible, Mr. Baggett opined that the percentage would be low. Mr. Zelley noted that the applicant committed to making the array as close to invisible as possible. When asked if reflection issues would impact traffic on Lake Road, Mr. Baggett indicated that there would be no reflection in the direction of Lake Road. When asked by Mrs. Chimenti if there have been any studies on the visibility of the array from adjacent properties, Mr. Baggett responded in the positive. Mr. Zelley clarified that extensive testimony was provided in January as to the plantings provided, photos were provided showing various vantage points. When asked by Mr. Chimenti if it was possible to install a smaller array that would produce less power, Mr. Baggett responded in the positive but the objective is to offset as much fossil fuel usage as possible. When asked if he would still recommend a solar array if only 35% of the power could be provided, Mr. Baggett responded in the positive.

When asked by Mr. Banisch if the array could be lowered to the ground, Mr. Baggett responded in the negative noting it would receive fewer hours of sunlight based on the angle of the sun. When asked if there would be an unreasonable loss of efficiency if the array was reduced by two (2) feet, Mr. Baggett responded in the positive. Absent calculations, Mr. Banisch opined that the installation could be lowered without a significant power loss. He opined that shielding the view from the Chimenti property could be accomplished with heavy buffering and landscape enhancement. Mr. Zelley agreed and noted that foliage was been installed close to the array as well as directly in front of their property at the fence line. When asked if the applicant would agree to more landscaping in the general vicinity of the neighbors, Mr. Zelley agreed and suggested the Board appoint a designee (either a Board member or a Board professional) to visit the site after one (1) year to evaluate the effectiveness of the buffering; the plantings need time to mature. When asked by Mr. Zelley if a nine (9) foot high array was typical for a residential ground mount, Mr. Baggett responded in the positive. Mr. Bolio noted that the engineering plan depicted the width as 13 feet (each panel is 5 ½ feet in length) and 58 feet long. Mr. Zelley pointed out that the engineering plan depicted the arrays before they were reduced. Mr. Baggett agreed with Mr. Bolio's calculation and Mr. Zelley agreed to have the engineer revise the plans accordingly.

Dr. Mellendick, Lake Road asked if the Borough had guidelines for solar installations. Mr. Banisch explained that there are no guidelines as it is not a permitted use in a residential zone. Dr. Mellendick opined that the Borough should adopt guidelines for property owners to follow.

There being no additional questions from the public, Chairman Rochat closed the public questions of the witness.

Jessica Caldwell, Planner, 145 Spring Street, Newton, NJ was present and sworn in by Mr. Linnus. Ms. Caldwell provided her educational and professional background and was accepted by the Board. Ms. Caldwell performed a planning analysis for the variances for the solar array as well as the variances for the accessory structures. She confirmed that the application required a use variance and minimum front yard setback for the solar arrays and rear yard setback variances for various existing accessory structures; the variance for the rear yard setback for the solar array has been eliminated. Ms. Caldwell outlined the positive and negative criteria for the variances notably the inherently beneficial use of the solar array. She referenced the Sica vs. Wall Township case which established the Sica balancing test. She opined that the only potential negative impact would be visual which is proposed to be blocked by substantial landscaping. In conclusion, she noted the following: 1) the positives outweigh the negatives, 2) the structures requiring setback variances are all within 10% of the zone minimum, 3) there are no structures within 450 feet of the rear property line, 4) the site is surrounded by residential uses and the proposed development is in keeping with the neighborhood and low-density character of the area, and 5) the accessory uses advance the goals of the Master Plan.

Mr. Banisch agreed that solar panels are an inherently beneficial use and that the setbacks for the generator and chicken coop are de minimis in so far as the deviations from the setbacks required. He also noted that most of the improvements requiring rear setback variances pre-date the applicant's ownership of the property.

When asked by Mr. Lewis if she was familiar with the NJDEP solar siting analysis, Ms. Caldwell responded in the negative. Mr. Lewis outlined the preferred and non-preferred lands noting that natural and agricultural lands are listed as non-preferred lands for solar siting. Ms. Caldwell opined that the property was residential in nature and that the NJDEP solar siting analysis was for larger utility grade, power generating systems (not for net-metering facilities).

Mr. Bolio clarified that the setback from Pennbrook Road should be measured from the right of way therefore providing a setback of 47.5 feet. Mr. Zelley agreed to a condition that the plans be amended accordingly.

When asked by Chairman Rochat if the chicken coop is used, Mr. Hofmann responded in the negative and agreed to remove the chicken coop and eliminate the variance.

There being no additional questions from the Board, Chairman Rochat opened the meeting up to the public for questions.

Dr. Mellendick, Lake Road questioned the need to remove the chicken coop.

Jim Chimenti, 111 Lake Road asked if she was aware of any other visible solar arrays in Far Hills. Ms. Caldwell responded in the positive noting that several applications were referenced during the public hearing process but she was unable to testify to the visibility. When asked if she agreed that after the PLANNING BOARD MINUTES

solar array is installed there would be less open space, Ms. Caldwell opined that the criteria is about balancing the positive. When asked if there is a net reduction of open space, Ms. Caldwell responded in the positive. When asked if the mitigated vegetation would block the existing scenic vista on the scenic corridor, Ms. Caldwell responded in the negative. Mr. Zelley objected to the questioning noting that the applicant cannot reach 100% invisibility but that has agreed to make every effort to reach that goal. Mr. Zelley went on to say that solar power is welcomed by the State, encouraged by public policy and the applicant went to great lengths to place it in an area that impacts the scenic corridor the least. Mrs. Chimenti, referencing the Far Hills Master Plan noted that the language calls for protecting and preserving the scenic vistas and during her time on the Planning Board these goals and objectives were not compromised. When asked if it is her position that properties along a scenic corridor should not benefit from solar energy, Ms. Chimenti responded in the negative and noted that she has resided in Far Hills for 11 years and only recently found out that her neighbor has solar panels because they are not visible. Mr. Chimenti opined that the approval of the array is the beginning of a slippery slope To move things along, Mr. Linnus suggested that the and degradation of the scenic corridor. Chimenti's go back to questioning the witness. When asked if placing a tree where one (1) didn't exist obstructs a scenic vista, Ms. Caldwell responded in the negative noting that scenic vistas are inclusive of vegetation and trees.

There being no other public questions, Chairman Rochat closed the public questioning of the witness. Chairman Rochat opened the meeting up to public comments.

Mary Chimenti, 111 Lake Road was sworn in by Mr. Linnus. Mrs. Chimenti stated that she and her husband have been residents of Far Hills for over 11 years, she has served in various municipal capacities and attended multiple meetings and there has always been a common theme of the residents of Far Hills, especially of those on Lake Road. The residents pay a high premium to live in Far Hills because of its beauty. She opined that there is no way to completely conceal solar arrays at the corner of Pennbrook and Lake Road and that the solar arrays would be visible in the gaps that exist in the tree line. She was not against solar power but concerned with the degradation of the scenic corridor. When asked by Mr. Zelley if she objects to the solar panels or just the ability to see them, Mrs. Chimenti indicated that she objects to the placement of solar panels in the frontage of Lake and Pennbrook Roads. When asked to confirm that there would be no variance required if the applicant wanted to plant a forest on their property, Mrs. Chimenti responded in the positive. When asked by Mr. Zelley if the Hofmann's have done their best to buffer the proposed solar array from view, Mrs. Chimenti agreed that the Hofmann's tried to accommodate the neighbors. When asked if there was any location on the applicant's property that could be utilized for solar arrays without impacting the scenic vista, Mrs. Chimenti responded in the negative. When asked if it was her testimony that the applicant should not be permitted to have solar power because doing so would interfere with the scenic vista, Mrs. Chimenti responded in the positive.

Dr. Mellendick, Lake Road requested clarification on the location of the proposed solar array. Mr. Zelley explained that the array was parallel to Pennbrook Road on the other side of the tree line.

Using the Share Screen function of Zoom, Mr. Banisch displayed Exhibit A-1 and explained that the scenic corridor is characterized by a number of factors including the topography, wooded areas and open areas that all add the character of the Borough of Far Hills. He opined that the open vista as

viewed through the permeability of the hedgerow prior to the supplemental plantings is one of the elements in the landscape that should be preserved. He opined that the objective may be to focus landscaping efforts from offsite perspectives. Mr. Zelley agreed and reminded Mr. Banisch that he suggested at the offset that a representative be designated to evaluate the landscaping. He went on to state that his clients share the same concern about blocking everything from Lake Road. The applicant is willing to install any landscaping that is not going to hinder the utilization of the solar array. Mr. Linnus opined that the Board may need a landscape plan prepared to which Mr. Zelley noted that the Board walked the site a year ago and the existing vegetation was supplemented with significant plantings to address the concerns of the Board and neighbors. Chairman Rochat opined that additional landscaping closer to the array may be the best option.

Philipp Hofmann, applicant explained that 12-foot-tall arborvitae were planted immediately in front of the proposed solar panels and they shield the panels completely from Lake Road; he agreed to additional plantings in that location if necessary. He stressed the need for residents to switch from fossil to renewable energy.

Jim Chimenti, 111 Lake Road echoed his wife's concerns and noted that the bucolic nature of the landscape is what attracted them to Far Hills. He went on to state that the nature of the view on Lake Road changes with the seasons and that extensive vegetation can take on an industrial look; it is not native or natural. When asked by Mr. Zelley if he would make the same statement if the applicant tried to address the impact on the view shed, Mr. Chimenti responded by saying that the applicant failed to provide a plan where the solar arrays are not visible. A wall of arborvitae would look unnatural and would not preserve the character of the town. Mr. Zelley noted that at least two (2) solar arrays in the neighborhood were erected without seeking a variance and they are now benefiting from the array.

Dr. Mellendick, Lake Road questioned the ability to put the panels below ground level.

Mr. Zelley requested direction from the Board.

Chairman Rochat opined that it was difficult to visualize the panels on the site walk with the use of stakes.

Mr. Lawlor requested a landscape plan that is less industrial looking but still shields the arrays.

Mr. Lewis noted that setbacks are established for a reason and encroachments should be scrutinized carefully; some lots are not suitable for solar development.

Mr. Zelley recommended the Board appoint a designee to work with the applicant to come to a compromise prior to the next meeting. Mr. Linnus opined that Mr. Banisch would be the best choice as Mr. Zelley should not disucss the application directly with Board members. The consensus of the Board agreed that the applicant should work directly with Mr. Banisch with regard to landscaping and return at a future meeting.

Mr. Linnus announced that the hearing was being carried to March 7, 2022, 7 p.m. to be held virtually with no new notice. Mr. Zelley agreed to grant an extension of time to the end of April.

Appl. No. PB2021-18

Mahoney Block 5, Lot 6.03 5 Fox Hunt Court Use Variance

Ms. Goodchild noted that the application would not be heard due to deficient notice.

Councilwoman Tweedie returned to the meeting at this time.

Appl. No. PB2022-01
 Spacious Skies Campgrounds, LLC
 Block 15, Lot 1.01
 49 Route 202, Suite 10
 Change of Use/Occupancy/Site Plan Waiver

Mr. Linnus raised an interpretation issue and whether a use variance may be necessary based on the application material. He suggested the Board address the threshold issue before hearing the application. Mr. Banisch explained that the applicant provides a service and he likened it to a travel agency.

Anthony Melillo, was present representing the applicant and explained that the company was formed in 2021 to place people in campgrounds in the northeast and mid-atlantic areas. Currently they are working out of their home but have grown at a substantial rate. The prior use of the space was Paladin Insurance company approved by the Board a few years ago. Hours of operation will be Monday through Friday, 8 a.m. through 6 p.m. with a maximum of six (6) people on site; five (5) employees and one (1) visitor - six (6) parking spaces provided. The monument sign and sign outside the door will be re-decaled.

Mr. Linnus noted that professional offices are permitted under the ordinance however it is limited to doctors, dentists, architects, engineers, lawyers, real estate agents, insurance brokers or similar professional uses. He also noted that Mayor Vallone and Councilwoman Tweedie could not vote on the interpretation issue.

Vice Chairman Rochat made a motion to interpret the use as a permitted use. The motion was seconded by Mr. Koury. The motion carried by the following roll call vote:

Roll Call Vote:

Those in Favor:

Vice Chairman Rinzler, Mr. Lawlor, Mr. Lewis, Mr. Koury and Chairman

Rochat

Those Opposed:

None

When asked by Chairman Rochat if the service is to find campgrounds, Mr. Melillo responded in the positive and noted that there would be no RV's on site; most service is provided online. When asked by Mayor Vallone where they are currently based, Mr. Melillo responded Bernardsville. Mayor Vallone suggested that the Board require, as a condition of approval, no RV's or campers onsite. Mr. Melillo agreed to that condition of approval.

PLANNING BOARD MINUTES 2/7/22

Chairman Rochat opened the meeting up to the public for questions.

Dr. Mellendick, Lake Road asked if he could make a general public comment on the Pulte application. Mr. Linnus noted that the Pulte application public comment was heard at the January meeting and public comment was closed. Dr. Mellendick noted that there was no opportunity to question the variances or conditions of approval. Mr. Banisch noted that the conditions of approval were discussed throughout the public hearing process. Chairman Rochat pointed out to Dr. Mellendick that he was not present at the January meeting when the meeting was open to the public for comments. Dr. Mellendick expressed his frustration that he and Mr. Schwester were not permitted to speak during the public comment period.

There being no additional questions or comments, Chairman Rochat closed the public questions.

Councilwoman Tweedie made a motion to approve the application. The motion was seconded by Mr. Lewis. The motion carried by the following roll call vote:

Roll Call Vote:

Those in Favor:

Vice Chairman Rinzler, Councilwoman Tweedie, Mr. Lawlor, Mr. Lewis, Mr.

Koury and Chairman Rochat

Those Opposed:

None

Mr. Melillo noted that Melillo Equities was recently awarded the Somerset County Planning Award for Adaptive Re-use and he thanked the Board for the guidance and approval for that award winning project. The Board congratulated Mr. Melillo on the award and a beautiful project.

CORRESPONDENCE

- 1. A letter dated January 14, 2022 from Ferriero Engineering re: Chateau De Fleur, Block 4, Lot 7, 66 Lake Road.
- 2. Á letter dated January 27, 2022 from Lea Sura, Amertech Engineering, Inc. re: Chateau De Fleur, Block 4, Lot 7, 66 Lake Road.
- 3. A letter dated February 2, 2022 from Ferriero Engineering re: Mahoney, Block 5, Lot 6.03, 5 Fox Hunt Court.

ZONING UPDATE

• Zoning memo dated January 31, 2022 - Kimberly Coward

ADJOURNMENT

Motion by Vice Chairman Rinzler, seconded by Councilwoman Tweedie and unanimously carried to adjourn the meeting at 10:47 p.m.

Shana L. Goodchild, Planning Board Secretary

APPROVED 3/7/22

STATE OF NEW JERSEY COUNTY OF SOMERSET BOROUGH OF FAR HILLS

APPLICATION NO. PB2021-07 Pulte Homes of NJ, Limited Partnership/ Residences of Overleigh Block 5, Lot 4 220 Route 202 Prel./Final Subdivision and Site Plan Variance PLANNING BOARD MEETING MONDAY, FEBRUARY 7TH, 2022 TIME: 7:00 P.M.

BOARD MEMBERS: Tom Rochat, Chair, Class IV Richard Rinzler, Vice Chair, Class IV Robert Lewis, Class IV Marilyn Layton, Class IV Sheila Tweedie, Secretary Class III Paul J. Vallone, M.D., Mayor Class I Jack Koury, Alternate #1 Suzanne Humbert, Alternate #2

Shana L. Goodchild, Board Secretary Francis Linnus, Board Attorney David Banisch, Board Professional Steven Bolio, Board Professional

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

25

HUDSON COURT REPORTING & VIDEO (732) 906-2078

TRANSCRIPT of Proceedings in the above-entitled matter, as taken by and before MARIA F. PIOTROWSKI, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Jersey, via Zoom.

APPEARANCES

DAY PITNEY, ESQS. BY: CRAIG GIANETTI, ESQ. 1 JEFFERSON ROAD PARSIPPANY, NEW JERSEY 07054

Attorney for the Applicant

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

23 24 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 4

Page 3

CHAIRMAN ROCHAT: Now, next on the agenda is Application BB2021-07, Pulte Homes of New Jersey. MR. LINNUS: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Just to give the Board members and the public a little brief background as to what we're going tonight, as the Board may recall the public hearing was closed, public comment was closed at the last meeting which occurred in January. At that time the Board instructed me to work with the Board professionals along with the attorney for the Applicant to craft proposed conditions as well as draft a proposed Resolution both of which are before the Board and were presented to the Board. So the procedure tonight would be the Board deliberating on the resolution, deliberating on the conditions, deliberating on the Application and that's

where we are procedurally. CHAIRMAN ROCHAT: Okay.

17

MR, LINNUS: All things being equal at the end of those deliberations there would be a vote on the resolution either yay or nay.

CHAIRMAN ROCHAT: Okay.

MR. LINNUS: I see Mr. Gianetti in the

23 audience. Mr. Glanetti do you have any comments with

respect to the procedure. 74

MR. GIANETTI: No, Mr. Linnus, and for the

record, Craig Gianetti, the law firm of Day Pitney on behalf of the Applicant Pulte Homes of New Jersey, LP.

Correct, Mr. Linnus, I think you outlined it correctly. Last meeting we concluded our presentation, we concluded public comment, the public meeting was closed, there was going to be a vote, some Board members wanted to see conditions of approval and a Resolution and we agreed to extend the time to the Board to act for that to occur. But from a hearing standpoint, you know, the presentation and public comment was all completed.

MR. LINNUS: Mr. Chairman, the Board can start deliberations.

CHAIRMAN ROCHAT: Okay. Might we start with Dave Banisch just to cover what he has done with this?

MR. BANISCH: Well, Mr. Chairman, as Mr. Linnus has explained, Board professionals have prepared a condition -- conditions of approval for the Board's consideration. Following which the body of the Resolution itself was prepared and the entirety of the forma Resolution, including the findings of fact and the conditions of approval have been submitted to the Board for tonight's meeting. I think that if the Board wants to review this Resolution from the standpoint of potentially having comments with respect to what the approval entails, I think the first thing the Board has

Pages 1 to 4

to keep in mind is that the Application is presented is essentially what's being approved by the Board in this resolution and that is the market rate townhouse component as well as the affordable component.

5

The conditions of approval begin essentially on Page 16 of the Resolution and some of them are fairly standard and bollerplate, some of them are more specific. I don't know, Mr. Chairman, if you would like us to run through the conditions so that the Board has a chance to hear them. That would normally be the procedure, I think, in the course of an approval for an Application like this and maybe the appropriate starting point here.

Mr. Linnus, does that make sense to you?

MR. LINNUS: It does and it's up to the Board Chair if he wants to follow that procedure, but that is an appropriate procedures.

CHAIRMAN ROCHAT: Correct, that's kind of what I was looking at whether if there was any questions as we go through the list from the Board members so there could be a comment period just to run through them.

MR. BANISCH: Okay. I'll read each condition, I suppose, and, Mr. Chairman, you can stop me, I think, whenever you think it may be necessary or I can just read through all of the conditions and Board members may choose to make notes of any of the conditions that they

may have a comment or a question about.

CHAIRMAN ROCHAT: That's fine.

MR. BANISCH: Okay. I'll run through them

then.

So the site plan approvals being approved with the variance relief and the exceptions that were discussed and presented at the hearing subject to the following conditions.

Number one, compliance to the satisfaction of the Board Engineer with the comments of the Borough Engineer in his May 19, 2021 and July 2nd, 2021 letters and the November 5th, 2021 letter.

Compliance to the satisfaction of the Board Planner with the comments of the Borough Planner and his review letters of July 5, 2021, August 2, 2021, November 23, 2021 and January 3, 2022.

Compliance to the satisfaction of the Board Traffic Engineer with the comments of the Traffic Engineer and his review letters of August 23, 2021, November 19, 2021.

Condition Number 4, the Applicant shall apply an as-built plan set from a licensed surveyor and furnish same to the Borough Engineer for review and approval. The as-built of the individual buildings shall be provided prior to the individual buildings receiving

Page 7

Certificate of Occupancy. The as-built of the infrastructure shall be provided by prior to 90 percent of the Certificates of Occupancy being issued for the project. That equals 121 total units or 121 Certificates of Occupancy when the as-built on the infrastructure is required.

Number 5, the Applicant shall obtain confirmation of the block and lot numbers of the property from the Tax Assessor and revise all plans as may be required.

Condition Number 6, approval by the governing body of the street names.

Condition Number 7, the Applicant shall submit the following easements to the Borough Attorney and Borough Engineer and the Borough Planner. All easements to which the Borough is a party is subject to review and approval by the Borough Engineer. These include the scenic corridor, Letter A; B, is the stream corridor; letter C is the conservation easement; letter D are utilities including sanitary, water, electric, telephone, and cable; letter E is drainage easements; letter F is storm water maintenance easements; letter G are cross-access easements between Lots 401 and 4.02; and letter H, driveway site easements. The Board Traffic Engineer shall also review those.

Page 8

Condition Number 8, the Applicant shall obtain any necessary local, County, State or Federal approvals for the project, particularly A, all required NJDEP approvals — permits and approvals, excuse me, including, but not limited to wetlands, sewer service area, and treatment works approval; letter B, Somerset Union Soil Conservation district approval; letter C, Somerset County Planning Board approval; letter D, NJDOT approvals, including an access permit.

Condition Number 9, the Applicant shall prepare and submit the requisite number of prints of the final plan subject to review and approval of the Borough Engineer.

Condition Number 10, the Applicant shall submit engineering estimates and performance guarantee security for all required improvements in accordance with the Municipal Land Use Law subject to the satisfaction of the Borough Engineer and the Borough Attorney.

Number 11, the condition -- the Applicant shall enter into a Developer's Agreement with the Borough.

Condition 12, taxes, municipal charges, escrows and other fees are be to be paid and kept current in accordance with the Municipal Land Use law.

Condition 13, the affordable housing apartment building materials will be the same as the townhouses

Pages 5 to 8

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with similar and compatible exterior architectural elements.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Condition Number 14, the Applicant shall submit a restriction which shall be included in the Homeowners Association Declaration of Covenants regarding the maintenance of the meadow area satisfactory to the Borough Attorney and the Borough Engineer.

Condition Number 15, demolition and removal and/or disposal of any existing structure shall be in accordance within all laws and regulations.

Condition Number 16, not way of limitation, but to the extent not specified elsewhere or already satisfied, the Applicant shall furnish, perform or otherwise satisfy those matters identified in the October 1, 2021 submission letter from Gladstone Design.

Condition Number 17, the Applicant shall install a conduit for laying of wire of the electrical vehicle EV charging stations for nine guest parkings spaces for the apartment building and shall comply with the State's recently adopted EV Supply Equipment Statute to the extent it is applicable. The location of the EV parking spaces shall be reviewed and approved by the Borough Engineer. EV parking spaces shall be limited for use by only the residents and their guests of the development.

Condition Number 18, the Applicant shall submit Homeowners Association documents subject to review and approval by the Borough Attorney and the Borough Engineer prior to obtaining a building permit.

Number 19, the Homeowners Association shall be responsible for maintenance of the common improvements including the storm water management system for the project.

Condition Number 20, the Homeowners Association shall be responsible for the operation and maintenance of the sewer treatment facility and system and will demonstrate adequate security for the benefit of the Borough in the form of a reserve schedule set forth in the Homeowners Association annual budget regarding continuing operations, proper maintenance, and protection against the results of catastrophic failures which will not include bonding.

Condition Number 21, the Homeowners Association shall be responsible for maintenance of any electric vehicle charging stations located in common areas and guest parking spaces. All electric vehicle parking stations located on the affordable housing shall be maintained by the owner of that lot.

Condition Number 22, before the movement of any soil, export or import, the Applicant shall schedule the

Page 11

times, routes, and volumes, tracking pads, street cleaning with the coordination of Borough Police Department and the Borough Engineer as part of a preconstruction meeting. The Applicant shall test all imported soil and sourcing information. That should probably read and provide sourcing information at the end of that sentence. So it would read, In Condition Number 22 the Applicant shall test all imported soil and provide sourcing information.

Condition Number 23, the Applicant shall furnish water main extension plans to the Borough Engineer for review and approval.

Condition Number 24, the Applicant shall obtain United States Postal Service and local postal office approval of the gang mailboxes prior to the issuance of the first Certificate of Occupancy.

Condition Number 25, prior to (audio interference) approval the Applicant shall stake out the clearing limits in the field which shall be subject to review and approval from the Board Professionals. Tree removal shall be in accordance with NJDEP requirements as applicable and shall be reviewed and approved by the Borough Engineer and Borough Planner. Applicant shall avoid damage to trees that are to remain and shall avoid root compaction by restricting heavy equipment traffic

Page 12

within the drip line of the trees to remain.

Condition Number 26. The Applicant shall provide satisfactory installation of appropriate protection for tree removal. The tree removal schedule to the extent applicable shall avoid erosion problems. During construction the Borough Engineer may require the Applicant to install erosion control measures such as double silt fencing, stay cay bells, et cetera, where in the reasonable opinion of the Borough Engineer such measures are necessary to address possible erosion concerns and emergent weather conditions.

Condition Number 27, the Applicant or the Homeowners Association, as the case may be, shall test the emergency generator for waste water treatment facility once per week which shall be limited between the hours 10 a.m. until 2 p.m., Tuesdays through Thursdays.

Condition Number 28, the Applicant shall amend its landscape plan to provide plan notes regarding maintenance and retention of the general wooded area along the front portion of the property. The walking path is to be natural and not be mowed.

Condition Number 29, the Borough Engineer and Planner shall review the proposed reforestation tree species to be planned and the Applicant agrees to accommodate any reasonable tree species replacements or

Pages 9 to 12

substitutions that may be recommended by the Borough's Professionals that are consistent with the NJDEP approved list of tree species for reforestation.

Я

Condition Number 30, the sewer treatment plan will be constructed with the same materials and compatible designs and features as the townhouses and the affordable housing building.

Condition Number 31, the Applicant shall submit a plan for clearing to create site easements at the access driveway. The execution of same shall be subject to review and approval by the Board's Traffic Engineer. Clearing for any site easements for required site distance of the access driveway shall be subject to review and approval from the Board Traffic Engineer and Borough Planner. Supplemental planting shall be provided as may be required outside the site easements subject to review and approval from the Bureau Planner.

Condition Number 32. The Applicant shall remove invasive species from the wooded area to remain along Route 202 frontage and within the conservation easement and reforestation area and this shall be coordinated with the Borough Engineer and the Borough Planner and subject to any NJDEP requirements.

Condition Number 30 -- excuse me, 33, any adjustments to the site plan to address storm water

management comments of the Board engineer or comments from the NJDEP shall not result in changes to the layout of the buildings or the roadway network. Any such material change must be brought back to the Board for review as an amendment to the current approval.

Condition Number 34, the Applicant shall comply with the HOA phasing schedule for producing the affordable units except as may be modified by the Borough In the Developer's Agreement since the market rate for-sale townhouses are provided in 23 4- and five-unit buildings and the affordable units are rental apartments contained wholly within one building.

Condition Number 35. As noted on the sales trailer and model home plot plan, the hours for displaying and location of the feather flags shall be addressed as part of the Developer's Agreement. Sales trailer and model home improvements shall be removed no later than after marketing and sales are completed with best efforts to conclude within three years of the commencement of building construction.

Condition Number 36, the Applicant's satisfaction of all comments and agreements made during testimony before the Board, except as may be modified here in.

Condition Number 37, all conditions contained

Page 15

In this resolution and in the record of proceedings in this matter, including any agreements made by the Applicant were essential to the Board's decision to grant the approvals set forth herein.

Condition Number 38, the development of this property shall be implemented in accordance with the plans submitted and as approved. In the event the Applicant shall make or propose any material changes to the project or structures on the property from those shown on the revised and approved plans and exhibits approved for this Application. Whether these changes are voluntarily undertaken or required by any regulatory agency applicants shall submit any such material changes to this Board for review and approval and determination as the case may be.

Number 39, the Borough reserves the right to request additional, reasonable, and customary site improvements should actual field conditions vary from what is depicted on the approved plans and/or is indicated (audio interference.)

Condition Number 40, site work shall not commence prior to compliance with the terms and conditions set forth herein, except for those conditions that cannot be satisfied until after commencement of site work.

Page 16

Condition Number 41, the granting of the site plan approval specified herein shall not be construed to include satisfaction of the Uniform Construction Code of the State of New Jersey.

Condition Number 42, the Applicant shall comply with all applicable affordable housing requirements as required by the Borough Ordinances which have been adopted to implement Uniform Housing and Affordability Controls Regulations including but not limited to income distribution, phasing in the affordable units, except as may be modified by the Borough. Heating source, accessibility, and adaptability, amenities, size of units and bedrooms.

Letter A of Condition 42, the 29 affordable rental units shall be comprised of 25 family units, 4 age restricted units in accordance with the following bedroom mix and affordable income requirements.

Mr. Chairman, rather than reading each of those Individually suffice it to say that the family units and the age restricted units conform to the bedroom mix and affordable income requirements in the regulations. Will that be acceptable?

CHAIRMAN ROCHAT: That's acceptable.
MR. BANISCH: Condition Number 43, the
Applicant shall engage in experienced affordable housing

Pages 13 to 16

administrative agent responsible for affirmative marketing, tenant income verification and qualification. The Applicant shall obtain approval of the Affordable Housing Professional Services Agreement by the Borough Attorney and/or the Borough Planner. Affirmative marketing, perspective tenant verification and tenant qualification activities shall ensure that a qualified pool of applicants is established for building occupancy at the time a Certificate of Occupancy is issued for the affordable housing apartment building.

This particular condition, Mr. Chairman, is notice to the Applicant that they have to be prepared with qualified residents to occupy the affordable units when that building becomes ready for occupancy.

Condition Number 44, with respect to the affordable control period for the affordable units, the Applicant shall submit Deed restrictions in accordance with the Uniform Housing Affordability controls. At the end of the affordability control period nothing shall preclude the Borough from electing to release the affordability controls in accordance with applicable law.

Condition Number 45, wheel turning movements for school buses needs to be provided for review and approval to the Board's Traffic Engineer.

Condition Number 46, the emergency generator

for the waste water treatment plan should be enclosed
 within a waterproof, sound attenuating, enclosure.
 Enclosure details shall be subject to review and approval
 by the Board Planner.

Condition Number 47, the Applicant has indicated a hotbox is not required for the project. Should a water connection hotbox be needed at some point in the future design and installation will require amended site plan approval from the Board.

Condition Number 48, the Applicant agreed to provide a backup power source, a battery pack for the affordable housing building to ensure common areas and emergency lighting would have power during power outages. Details and all notes for the backup power source shall be provided on the plans.

Condition Number 49, the Applicant shall maintain control of the construction site at all times to prevent unauthorized access to the site.

Condition Number 50, Applicant shall submit a Resolution Compliance Package itemizing and addressing each condition of approval which must be satisfactorily completed prior to exercising the rights and privileges of this approval and the relief granted.

Condition Number 51, Applicant shall comply with all statutes, ordinances, rules and regulations in

Page 19

the United States of America, State of New Jersey, County of Somerset, and Borough of Far Hills.

Condition Number 52, this memorializing Resolution shall be recorded with the Somerset County Clerk's office, a copy of the recorded instrument shall be filed with the Borough Attorney, Borough Clerk, and Planning Board Secretary.

Condition Number 53, it is acknowledged that the developer, the market rate townhouses, and the developer of the affordable rental building may be undertaken by separate entitles. The conditions of this Resolution are applicable to and binding upon all entities responsible for all aspects of the development.

Condition Number 54, applicant may appear before the Planning Board for a final determination to the extent there may be disagreement between the Applicant and the officials and professionals on satisfaction and/or implementation of any of the conditions of approval.

Conditions Number 55, the Applicant shall comply with the terms of the Affordable Housing Agreement with the Borough of Far Hills as may be hereinafter (audio Interference) modified.

So those 55 conditions, Mr. Chairman, are what we presented for the Board as part of this approving

Page 20

Resolution. And the plan -- the resolution itself identifies the specific architecture as the second round of architecture that was submitted on the townhouses as that which is being approved as part of this approval.

CHAIRMAN ROCHAT: Okay. Thanks, David, for reading all those.

MR. LINNUS: Thank you, David.

CHAIRMAN ROCHAT: Any comments from the Board or questions.

VICE CHAIRMAN RINZLER: I've got a quick question.

Mr. Gianetti, has a name been selected for this property yet.

MR. GIANETTI: No name has been selected at his time.

VICE CHAIRMAN RINZLER: Oh. Just out of curiosity is there a reason why no name's been selected so far?

MR. GIANETTI: I know since they decided had to move back from the prior name and they're going through their marketing research, it took months to come up with the prior name and I'm sure it will take months to come up with this one as well. As we indicated, the Board and the Borough will be advised when a name is selected.

VICE CHAIRMAN RINZLER: All right?

Pages 17 to 20

CHAIRMAN ROCHAT: Any other questions, Rick? VICE CHAIRMAN RINZLER: No. MR. LEWIS: I have a question about, and this may have been covered, the internal roads they're all private: is that correct? MR. GIANETTI: Correct, they'll be owned by the Homeowners Association. It will be Title 39, I think it ls, that gives police enforcement, but it will be a common element of the Homeowner Association. MR. LEWIS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROCHAT: Dave? MR. BANISCH: Yeah. CHAIRMAN ROCHAT: Question 20, at the end of Question 20, you said something about bonding, I believe catastrophic failures. MR, BANISCH: Okay. I'll reread Condition Number 20, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN ROCHAT: It was just at the last sentence. MR. BANISCH: Yeah. Well, it says, the sentence I thought read awkwardly so I changed it slightly, but not the effectiveness of it. CHAIRMAN ROCHAT: Okay. MR. BANISCH: Condition Number 20 actually

maintenance of the sewer treatment facility and system and will demonstrate adequate security to the benefit (no bonding) of the Borough in the form of a reserve schedule set forth in the Homeowners Association annual budget regarding continuing operations, proper maintenance and protection against the results of catastrophic failures.

So what that condition is saying is that the HOA is going to be responsible through its annual budgeting to maintain those systems and to be prepared for a potential catastrophic failure.

CHAIRMAN ROCHAT: Okay. All right. That's fine.

Any other questions from the Board members?

So Mr. Linnus we're at a point where we would vote on the resolution and approval and the same point.

MR. LINNUS: That's correct. Although
Mr. Schweitzer [phn] at the beginning of the meeting
started to talk about this application. As I cautioned
the Board and Mr. Schweitzer at the time that the public
hearing is over, the public comment's over, but it would
be up to the Chair as part of your responsibilities,
duties, and discretion to allow him to make any comment
regarding this particular matter.

 $\label{eq:MR.GIANETTI:} I \ \mbox{would just note, Mr. Linnus,} \\ \mbox{at the last meeting you'll recall we were hoping for a}$

Page 23

vote at the last meeting, we made it clear as part of granting an extension to tonight that it would be clear there would be no more presentation, the public hearing was closed, no more public comments, and would just be consideration of the Resolution and the conditions.

reads the HOA shall be responsible for the operation and

MR. LINNUS: I acknowledge that you stated that at the time, Mr. Gianetti, however it is within the discretion of the Board Chair, if he so chooses, to allow the public comment, but that's up to the Chair. But I do acknowledge that occurred at the last meeting.

CHAIRMAN ROCHAT: Yes, Mr. Gianetti, we did have that discussion. I just have feelings that Mr. Schweitzer has a few comments, not to be --

MR. GIANETTI: Mr. Chairman, I would object. It was -- we discussed this at the last meeting, it was represented to me by the Board that we would not be opening it back up for public comment and we'd only be considering the conditions of approval and the Resolution, which is part of the reason why we agreed it to go through this process and grant an extension of time for the Board to act.

CHAIRMAN ROCHAT: Any comments isn't gonna be -- there's not gonna be any back and forth. It's just gonna be a statement and that's it.

MR. GIANETTI: Then what if another member of

Page 24

the public wants to make a comment and then another member. I object and I am I'm frankly disheartened that it was represented to me this would be the case at the last meeting and now we're talking about changing it.

CHAIRMAN ROCHAT: I don't think there was any guarantee that we would have a vote on the Resolution In the last meeting. We would vote on the application, but we weren't going to vote without the Resolution to look at so — because we didn't know what we were voting on.

MR. GIANETTI: Correct, and the Board had the Resolution well in advance of this meeting as well as the conditions as we said we would work hard to do.

CHAIRMAN ROCHAT: Correct. Any objections from the Board to open it up to Mr. Schweitzer? Board members?

MS. TWEEDIE: I would prefer not to. I believe that Mr. Schweitzer has said what -- he'll be repeating what he has said previously.

SECRETARY CHILD: Chairman Rochat, just keep in mind that we also have Dr. Mellendeck [phn] who has a hand up as well.

CHAIRMAN ROCHAT: Well, I guess in that case, as Mr. Gianetti says, I don't want to open up a can of worms and have an all night discussion either. I think we'll just move forward and vote. There was public

	Page 27		Page 28
25	COUNSELWOMAN TWEEDIE: Yes.	25	comprehensive and takes care of everything that was
24	SECRETARY CHILD: Counselwoman Tweedie?	24	putting this Resolution together. I think it's very
23	MAYOR VALLONE: Yes.	23	think that our professionals have done an excellent job
22	SECRETARY CHILD: Mayor Vallone?	22	COUNSELWOMAN TWEEDIE: And I just want to say I
21	VICE CHAIRMAN RINZLER: Yes.	21	MR. BANISCH: Yes, you're welcome.
0:	SECRETARY CHILD: Vice Chairman Rinzler?	20	them.
.9	CHAIRMAN ROCHAT: Okay. Role call, please.	19	reading all those. It's great to have the public hear
8	VICE CHAIRMAN RINZLER: I'll second it.	18	CHAIRMAN ROCHAT: And thank you, David, for
.7	the that have been read previously.	17	MR VALLONE: Thank you, Mr. Gianetti
.6	MS. TWEEDIE: With the conditions noted in	16	it. Thank you.
.5	VICE CHAIRMAN RINZLER: I'll move to second.	15	anyone. I appreciate all your time and consideration to
.4	Resolution.	14	this. I know this was not an easy application for
3	MS. TWEEDIE: Yes, I move to approve the	13	the Board, I appreciate everyone's time and effort on
.2	CHAIRMAN ROCHAT: Do I have a motion?	12	MR. GIANETTI: Thank you, Chairman, Members of
.1	Resolution with conditions.	11	SECRETARY CHILD: Motion carries.
0	So the motion would be to approve the	10	CHAIRMAN ROCHAT: Yes.
9	of the Application with conditions.	9	SECRETARY CHILD: And Chairman Rochat?
8	take a vote on the Resolution which would be an approval	8	MS. HUMBERT: Yes.
7	move the Resolution and someone would second it, then you	7	SECRETARY CHILD: Miss Humbert?
6	of you, the conditions were read. Assuming someone would	6	MR. KOURY: Yes.
5	have a Resolution of Approval of the Application in front	5	SECRETARY CHILD: Mr. Koury?
4	MR. LINNUS: Yes, you could move forward. You	4	MS, LAYTON: Yes,
3	So can we move forward, Mr. Linnus?	3	SECRETARY CHILD: Miss Layton?
2	then.	2	MR. LEWIS: Yes.
1	comment last meeting and they could have made comments	1	SECRETARY CHILD: Mr. Lewis?

discussed during all the --CERTIFICATE MR VALLONE: I agree. I think the Chairman and the Members of the Planning Board have done an exemplary I, MARIA F. PIOTROWSKI, a Certified Court job. I know it's been a very, very long time and a lot Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Jersey, of back and forth and I just want to thank all the certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate Members of the Board and the Chairman for their diligence transcript of the Hearing. in this matter. Thank you. I further certify that I am neither attorney MS. TWEEDIE: You're welcome. nor counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the (Hearing concluded at 7:47 p.m.) parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I financially interested in this action. Maria F. Piotrowski Certified Court Reporter License No.: 30XI00128900

		_		
A	affirmative	apartments	8:8,12	attorney
a.m12:16	17:1,5	14:11	10:3 11:12	1:18 2:10
above-en	affordab	appear 19:14	11:15,18	3:9 7:14
2:2	16:8 17:18	applicable	11:20	8:18 9:7
acceptable	17:19,21	9:21 11:22	13:11,14	10:3 17:5
16:22,23	affordable	12:5 16:6	13:17 14:5	19:6 28:8
access 8:9	5:4 8:24	17:21	15:14 16:2	28:12
13:10,13	10:22 13:7	19:12	17:3,24	audience
18:18	14:8,11	applicant	18:3,9,21	3:23
accessib	16:6,10,14	2:10 3:10	18:23	audio 11:17
16:12	16:17,21	4:2 6:21	19:19 20:4	15:20
accommodate	16:25 17:3	7:7,13 8:1	22:15	19:23
12:25	17:10,13	8:10,14,19	23:18 25:5	August 6:15
accurate	17:16,16	9:3,13,16	25:8	6:19
28:6	18:12	10:1,25	approvals	avoid11:24
acknowledge	19:10,21	11:4,8,10	6:5 8:2,4	11:24 12:5
23:6,10	age 16:15,20	11:13,18	8:4,8 15:4	awkwardly
acknowle	agency 15:13	11:23 12:2	approve	21:21
19:8	agenda 3:1	12:7,12,17	25:10,13	B
act 4:8	agent17:1	12:24 13:8	approved 5:2	
23:21	agree 27:2	13:18 14:6	6:5 9:22	B 7:18 8:6
action 28:10	agreed 4:8	15:3,8	11:22 13:2	back 14:4
28:13	18:10	16:5,25	15:7,10,11	20:20
activities	23:19	17:3,12,17	15:19 20:4	23:17,23
17:7	Agreement	18:5,10,16	approving	27:5
actual 15:18	8:20 14:9	18:19,24	19:25	background
adaptabi	14:16 17:4	19:14,17	architec	3:5
16:12	19:21	19:20	9:1	backup 18:11
additional	agreements	Applicant's	architec	18:14
15:17	14:22 15:2	14:21	20:2,3	Banisch 1:18
address	agrees 12:24	applicants	area 8:5 9:6	4:14,15
12:10	allow 22:22	15:13 17:8	12:19	5:21 6:3
13:25	23:8	application	13:19,21	16:24
addressed	Alternate	1:5 3:2,15	areas 10:20	21:12,16
14:16	1:15,15	5:1,11	18:12	21:20,24
addressing	amend 12:17	15:11	as-built	26:21
18:20	amended 18:9	22:18 24:7	6:22,24	battery
adequate	amendment	25:5,9	7:1,5	18:11
10:12 22:2	14:5	26:14	aspects	BB2021-07
adjustments	amenities	apply 6:21	19:13	3:2
13:25	16:12	appreciate	Assessor 7:9	bedroom
administ	America 19:1	26:13,15	Association	16:16,20
17:1	and/or 9:9	appropriate	9:5 10:2,5	bedrooms
adopted 9:20	15:19 17:5	5:12,16	10:9,14,18	16:13
16:8	19:18	12:3	12:13 21:7	beginning
advance	annual 10:14	approval 4:7	21:9 22:4	22:17
	22:4,8	4:17,21,25	Assuming	behalf 4:2 believe
24:11			25:6	1 11000
	apartment	5:5,11		1
24:11	apartment 8:24 9:19 17:10	6:23 7:11 7:17 8:6,7	attenuating 18:2	21:14 24:16

				1490 30
bells 12:8	10:3,13	22:18	charging	compatible
benefit	11:2,3,11	cay 12:8	9:18 10:20	9:1 13:6
10:12 22:2	11:23,23	Certificate	CHILD 24:19	completed
best 14:19	12:6,9,22	7:1 11:16	25:20,22	4:10 14:18
binding	13:15,22	17:9	25:24 26:1	18:22
19:12	13:13,22	Certific	26:3,5,7,9	compliance
block 1:6	15:16 16:7	7:3,4	26:11	6:9,13,17
7:8	16:11 17:4	Certified	choose 5:25	15:22
Board 1:8,11	17:5,20	2:3 28:4	chooses 23:8	18:20
1:17,18,18	19:2,6,6	28:20	Class 1:12	comply 9:19
1:19 3:4,5	19:22	certify 28:6	1:12,13,13	14:6 16:5
3:8,9,11	20:24 22:3	28:8	1:14,14	18:24
3:12,13	Borough's	cetera 12:8	cleaning	19:21
4:6,8,11	13:1	Chair 1:12	11:2	component
4:16,21,22	brief3:4	1:12 5:15	clear 23:1,2	5:4,4
4:25 5:2,9	brought 14:4	22:21 23:8	clearing	comprehe
•	budget 10:14	23:9	11:19 13:9	26:25
5:14,19,24 6:10,13,17	22:4	Chairman 3:1	13:12	comprised
7:24 8:8	budgeting	3:3,17,21	Clerk 19:6	16:15
11:20	22:9	4:11,13,15	Clerk's 19:5	concerns
13:14 14:1	building	5:8,17,22	closed 3:6,7	12:11
14:4,23	8:25 9:19	6:2 16:18	4:6 23:4	conclude
15:14 18:4	10:4 13:7	16:23	Code 16:3	14:19
18:9 19:7	14:12,20	17:11	come 20:21	concluded
19:15,25	17:8,10,14	19:24 20:5	20:22	4:4,5 27:9
20:8,23	18:12	20:8,10,16	commence	condition
20:0,23	19:10	20:25 21:1	15:22	4:17 5:21
23:8,16,21	buildings	21:2,11,13	commence	6:21 7:11
24:10,14	6:24,25	21:17,18	14:20	7:13 8:1
24:10,14	14:3,11	21:23	15:24	8:10,14,19
26:13 27:3	Bureau 13:17	22:11	comment 3:6	8:21,24
27:6	buses 17:23	23:11,14	4:5,10	9:3,8,11
Board's 4:17	Duses 17.25	23:22 24:5	5:20 6:1	9:16 10:1
13:11 15:3	С	24:13,19	22:22 23:9	10:9,18,24
17:24	C2:1,6 7:19	24:22	23:17 24:1	11:7,10,13
body 4:18	8:7 28:2,2	25:12,15	25:1	11:17 12:2
7:12	cable 7:21	25:18,19	comment's	12:12,17
boilerplate	call 25:19	25:20,21	22:20	12:22 13:4
5:7	care 26:25	26:9,10,12	comments	13:8,18,24
Bolio 1:19	carries	26:18 27:2	3:23 4:24	14:6,13,21
bonding	26:11	27:6	6:10,14,18	14:25 15:5
10:17	case 12:13	chance 5:9	14:1,1,22	15:21 16:1
21:14 22:3	15:15 24:3	change 14:4	20:8 23:4	16:5,14,24
Borough 1:3	24:22	changed	23:13,22	17:11,15
6:10,14,23	28:12	21:21	25:1	17:22,25
7:14,15,15	catastro	changes 14:2	common 10:6	18:5,10,16
7:16,17	10:16	15:8,11,13	10:20	18:19,21
8:12,18,18	21:15 22:6	changing	18:12 21:9	18:24 19:3
				1
	1 22:10	1 24:4	compaction	1 19:8,14
8:20 9:7,7	22:10 cautioned	24:4 charges 8:21	compaction 11:25	19:8,14 21:16,24
	22:10 cautioned	24:4 charges 8:21	11:25	21:16,24

22:7	13:22	decided	24:2	17:25
conditions	coordina	20:19	displaying	18:13
3:10,15	11:2	decision	14:15	emergent
4:7,17,21	copy 19:5	15:3	disposal 9:9	12:11
5:5,9,24	correct 4:3	Declaration	distance	employed
5:25 6:8	5:17 21:5	9:5	13:13	28:9,12
12:11	21:6 22:16	Deed 17:17	distribu	employee
14:25	24:10,13	delibera	16:10	28:11
15:18,23	correctly	3:14,14,15	district8:7	enclosed
15:23	4:4	delibera	documents	18:1
19:11,19	corridor	3:19 4:12	10:2	enclosure
19:20,24	7:18,18	demolition	double 12:8	18:2,3
23:5,18	counsel 28:9	9:8	Dr 24:20	enforcement
24:12 25:6	28:12	demonstrate	draft3:10	21:8
25:9,11,16	Counselw	10:12 22:2	drainage	engage 16:25
conduit 9:17	25:24,25	Department	7:21	engineer
confirma	26:22	11:3	drip12:1	6:10,11,18
7:8	County 1:2	depicted	driveway	6:19,23
conform	8:2,7 19:1	15:19	7:24 13:10	7:15,17,25
16:20	19:4	deposition	13:13	8:13,18
connection	course 5:11	28:10	duties 22:22	9:7,23
18:7	Court1:25	design 9:15		10:3 11:3
conserva	2:3 28:4	18:8	E	11:12,23
7:19 8:7	28:20	designs 13:6	E 2:6,6 7:21	12:6,9,22
13:20	Covenants	details 18:3	28:2,2	13:11,14
consider	9:5	18:14	easement	13:22 14:1
4:18 23:5	cover 4:14	determin	7:19 13:21	17:24
26:15	covered 21:4	15:14	easements	engineering
considering	craft3:10	19:15	7:14,15,21	8:15
23:18	Craig2:8	developer	7:22,23,24	ensure 17:7
consistent	4:1	19:9,10	13:9,12,16	18:12
13:2	create 13:9	Developer's	easy 26:14	entails 4:25
constructed	cross-ac	8:20 14:9	effectiv	enter8:20
13:5	7:23	14:16	21:22	entirety
construc	curiosity	development	effort 26:13	4:19
12:6 14:20	20:17	9:25 15:5	efforts	entities
16:3 18:17	current 8:22	19:13	14:19	19:11,13
construed	14:5	diligence	either 3:20	equal 3:18
16:2	customary	27:6	24:24	equals 7:4
contained	15:17	disagree	electing	equipment
14:12,25	D	19:16	17:20	9:20 11:25
continuing		discretion	electric 7:20 10:19	erosion 12:5
10:15 22:5	D7:19 8:8	22:22 23:8	10:21	12:7,10 escrows 8:21
control 12:7	damage 11:24 Dave 4:14	discussed	electrical	ESQ2:8
17:16,19	21:11	6:7 23:15	9:17	ESQ2:8
18:17	David1:18	27:1	element 21:9	essential
controls	20:5,7	discussion 23:12	elements 9:2	15:3
16:9 17:18	26:18	24:24	emergency	essentially
17:21 coordinated	Day 2:8 4:1	24:24 disheart	12:14	5:2,5
coordinated	Day 2.0 7.1	Granearc		J. 2, J
	•	•	-	•

	:			
established	21:15 22:6	24:25 25:3	granting	18:12
17:8	fairly 5:6	25:4	16:1 23:2	19:21
estimates	family 16:15	Francis 1:18	great 26:19	HUDSON 1:25
8:15	16:19	frankly 24:2	guarantee	Humbert 1:15
et12:8	far 1:3 19:2	front 12:20	8:15 24:6	26:7,8
EV 9:18,20	19:22	25:5	guess 24:22	,
9:21,23	20:18	frontage	guest 9:18	I
event15:7	feather	13:20	10:21	identified
everyone's	14:15	furnish 6:22	guests 9:24	9:14
26:13	features	9:13 11:11		identifies
excellent	13:6	further 28:8	H	20:2
26:23	FEBRUARY 1:8	28:11	H 7:24	III 1:14
exceptions	Federal 8:2	future 18:8	hand 24:21	implement
6:6	feelings		hard 24:12	16:8
excuse 8:4	23:12	G	he'll 24:17	implemen
13:24	fees 8:22	G 7:22	hear 5:10	19:18
execution	fencing 12:8	gang 11:15	26:19	implemented
13:10	field 11:19	general	hearing 3:6	15 : 6
exemplary	15:18	12:19	4:9 6:7	import10:25
27:3	filed19:6	generator	22:20 23:3	imported
exercising	final 8:11	12:14	27:9 28:7	11:5,8
18:22	19:15	17:25	Heating	improvem
exhibits	financially	Gianetti 2:8	16:11	8:16 10:6
15:10	28:13	3:22,23,25	heavy 11:25	14:17
existing 9:9	findings	4:1 20:12	hereinafter	15:18
experienced	4:20	20:14,19	19:22	include 7:17
16:25	fine 6:2	21:6 22:24	Hills1:3	10:17 16:3
explained	22:12	23:7,11,14	19:2,22	included 9:4
4:16	firm 4:1	23:25	HOA 14:7	including
export10:25	first 4:25	24:10,23	21:25 22:8	4:20 7:20
extend 4:8	11:16	26:12,17	home 14:14	8:4,9 10:7
extension	five-unit	give 3:3	14:17	15:2 16:9
11:11 23:2	14:10	gives 21:8	Homeowner	income 16:9
23:20	flags 14:15	Gladstone	21:9	16:17,21
extent 9:12	follow 5:15	9:15	Homeowners	17:2
9:21 12:5	following	go 5:19	9:4 10:2,5	indicated
19:16	4:18 6:8	23:20	10:9,14,18	15:20 18:6
exterior 9:1	7:14 16:16	going 3:5	12:13 21:7	20:23
	for-sale	4:6 20:20	22:4	individual
F'	14:10	22:8 24:8	Homes 1:5	6:24,25
F2:2 7:21	foregoing	gonna 23:22	3:2 4:2	individu
28:2,4,19	28:6	23:23,24	hoping 22:25	16:19
facility	form 10:13	Goodchild	hotbox 18:6	information
10:11	22:3	1:17	18:7	11:5,6,9
12:15 22:1	forma 4:20	governing	hours 12:16	infrastr
fact 4:20	forth 10:13	7:11	14:14	7:2,5
failure	15:4,23	grant 15:3	housing 8:24	install 9:17
22:10	22:4 23:23	23:20	10:22 13:7	12:7
failures	27:5	granted	16:6,8,25	installa
	1 2/11	14-04-0-		
10:16	forward	18:23	17:4,10,18	12:3 18:8

				Page 33
instructed	L1:17	10:20,22	meeting1:8	20:14,20
1	Land 8:17,23	location	3:7 4:4,5	20:22,24
3:8 instrument	-	9:21 14:15	4:22 11:4	name's 20:17
1	landscape 12:18	long 27:4	22:17,25	names 7:12
19:5	law 4:1 8:17	look 24:8	23:1,10,15	natural
interested 28:13	8:23 17:21	looking 5:18	24:4,7,11	12:21
1	1aws 9:10	lot1:6 7:8	25:1	nay 3:20
interfer		10:23 27:4	Mellendeck	necessary
11:18	laying 9:17	Lots 7:23	24:20	5:23 8:2
15:20	layout 14:2		member 23:25	12:10
19:23	Layton 1:13	LP 4:2	24:2	needed 18:7
internal	26:3,4	M	members 1:11	\$
21:4	letter 6:12	M.D1:14		needs 17:23
invasive	7:18,19,19		3:4 4:6	neither 28:8
13:19	7:21,21,22	mailboxes	5:19,24	network 14:3
issuance	7:24 8:6,7	11:15	22:13	New 1:1 2:4
11:15	8:8 9:15	main 11:11	24:15	2:9 3:2
issued7:3	16:14	maintain	26:12 27:3	4:2 16:4
17:9	letters 6:11	18:17 22:9	27:6	19:1 28:5
itemizing	6:15,19	maintained	memorial	night 24:24
18:20	Lewis 1:13	10:23	19:3	nine 9:18
IV1:12,12	21:3,10	maintenance	mind5:1	NJ1:5
1:13,13	26:1,2	7:22 9:6	24:20	NJDEP8:3
	License	10:6,10,15	mix16:17,20	11:21 13:2
<u>J</u>	28:20	10:19	model 14:14	13:23 14:2
J1:14	licensed	12:19 22:1	14:17	NJDOT 8:8
Jack 1:15	6:22	22:5	modified	normally
January 3:7	lighting	management	14:8,23	5:10
6:16	18:13	10:7 14:1	16:11	Notary 2:3
JEFFERSON	limitation	Maria 2:2	19:23	28:5
2:9	9:11	28:4,19	MONDAY 1:8	note 22:24
$\mathtt{Jersey}1:1$	limited1:5	Marilyn 1:13	months 20:21	noted 14:13
2:4,9 3:2	8:5 9:23	market5:3	20:22	25:16
4:2 16:4	12:15 16:9	14:9 19:9	motion 25:10	notes 5:25
19:1 28:5	limits 11:19	marketing	25:12	12:18
job 26:23	line 12:1	14:18 17:2	26:11	18:14
27:4	Linnus 1:18	17:6 20:21	move 20:20	notice 17:12
July 6:11,15	3:3,18,22	material	24:25 25:3	November
	3:25 4:3	14:4 15:8	25:4,7,13	6:12,15,20
K	4:11,15	15:13	25:15	number 6:9
keep 5:1	5:13,14	materials	movement	6:21 7:7
24:19	20:7 22:14	8:25 13:5	10:24	7:11,13
kept 8:22	22:16,24	matter2:2	movements	8:1,10,11
kind 5:17	23:6 25:3	15:2 22:23	17:22	8:14,19
know 4:9 5:8	25:4	27:7	mowed 12:21	9:3,8,11
20:19 24:9	list 5:19	matters 9:14	municipal	9:16 10:1
26:14 27:4	13:3	Mayor 1:14	8:17,21,23	10:5,9,18
Koury 1:15	little 3:4	25:22,23	. ,	10:24 11:7
26:5,6	local8:2	meadow 9:6	N	11:10,13
	11:14	measures	N2:1,6	11:17 12:2
L	located	12:7,10	name 20:12	12:12,17
		1	ł	

r				rage 34
12:22 13:4	operation	path 12:21	plot14:14	privileges
13:8,18,24	10:10	Paul 1:14	point 5:12	18:22
1	21:25	PB2021-07	18:7 22:14	probably
14:6,13,21 14:25 15:5		1:5	22:15	11:6
	<pre>operations 10:15 22:5</pre>	percent 7:2	police 11:2	problems
15:16,21		_	21:8	12:5
16:1,5,24	opinion12:9	perform 9:13 performance	pool 17:8	procedur
17:15,22	ordinances	-	_	3:16
17:25 18:5	16:7 18:25	8:15	portion	
18:10,16	outages	period 5:20	12:20	procedure
18:19,24	18:13	17:16,19	possible	3:13,24
19:3,8,14	outlined4:3	permit8:9	12:10	5:10,15
19:20	outside	10:4	postal 11:14	procedures
21:17,24	13:16	permits 8:4	11:14	5:16
numbers 7:8	Overleigh	perspective	potential	proceedings
	1:6	17:6	22:10	2:1 15:1
0	owned 21:6	phasing 14:7	potentially	process
object23:14	owner10:23	16:10	4:24	23:20
24:2		phn 22:17	power 18:11	producing
objections	P	24:20	18:13,13	14:7
24:13	P2:1,6,6	Piotrowski	18:14	Professi
obtain7:7	p.m1:9	2:3 28:4	preclude	1:18,19
8:1 11:13	12:16 27:9	28:19	17:20	17:4
17:3	pack 18:11	Pitney 2:8	preconst	professi
obtaining	Package	4:1	11:4	3:9 4:16
10:4	18:20	plan 1:7 6:5	prefer 24:16	11:20 13:2
occupancy	pads 11:1	6:22 8:12	Prel./Final	19:17
7:1,3,5	Page 5:6	12:18,18	1:7	26:23
11:16 17:8	paid 8:22	13:4,9,25	prepare 8:10	project 7:4
17:9,14	parking 9:22	14:14 16:2	prepared	8:3 10:8
occupy 17:13	9:23 10:21	18:1,9	4:16,19	15:9 18:6
occur 4:9	10:21	20:1	17:12 22:9	proper 10:15
occurred3:7	parkings	planned	presenta	22:5
23:10	9:18	12:24	4:4,10	property 7:8
October 9:15	PARSIPPANY	Planner 6:14	23:3	12:20 15:6
office 11:14	2:9	6:14 7:15	presented	15:9 20:13
19:5	part11:3	11:23	3:12 5:1	propose 15:8
officials	14:16	12:23	6:7 19:25	proposed
19:17	19:25 20:4	13:15,17	prevent	3:10,11
Oh 20:16	22:21 23:1	13:23 17:5	18:18	12:23
Okay 3:17,21	23:19	18:4	previously	protection
4:13 5:21	particular	Planning1:8	24:18	10:15 12:4
6:3 20:5	17:11	8:8 19:7	25:17	22:6
21:16,23	22:23	19:15 27:3	prints 8:11	provide 11:6
22:11	particul	plans 7:9	prior 6:25	11:8 12:3
25:19	8:3	11:11 15:7	7:2 10:4	12:18
once 12:15	parties	15:10,19	11:15,17	18:11
open 24:14	28:10	18:15	15:22	provided
24:23	Partners	planting	18:22	6:25 7:2
opening	1:5	13:15	20:20,22	13:15
23:17	party 7:16	please 25:19	private 21:5	14:10
		-		
Constant and Const				

17:23	6:25	require 12:6	restriction	25:12,19
18:15	recommended	18:8	9:4	26:9,10,18
public 2:3	13:1	required 7:6	restrict	Role 25:19
3:4,6,6	record 4:1	7:10 8:3	17:17	root 11:25
4:5,5,10	15:1	8:16 13:12	result14:2	round 20:2
22:19,20	recorded	13:16	results	Route 1:7
	19:4,5	15:12 16:7	10:16 22:6	13:20
23:3,4,9 23:17 24:1	reforest	18:6	retention	routes 11:1
24:25	12:23 13:3	requirem	12:19	rules 18:25
26:19 28:5	13:21	11:21	review 4:23	run 5:8,20
	regarding	13:23 16:6	6:15,19,23	6:3
Pulte1:5 3:2 4:2	9:5 10:14	16:17,21	7:16,25	0.5
	12:18 22:5	requisite	8:12 10:2	S
putting 26:24	22:23	8:11	11:12,20	S 2:1,6
20:24		reread 21:16	12:23	sales 14:13
Q	regulations 9:10 16:9	research	13:11,14	14:16,18
		20:21	13:17,14	sanitary
qualific 17:2,7	16:21 18:25	reserve	15:17 14:5	7:20
qualified		10:13 22:3	17:23 18:3	satisfac
. –	regulatory 15:12	reserves	reviewed	6:9,13,17
17:7,13	related 28:9	15:16	9:22 11:22	8:17 14:22
question 6:1 20:11 21:3	i	Residences	revise 7:9	16:3 19:18
l .	relative	1:6	revised	satisfac
21:13,14	28:11	residents	15:10	18:21
questions	release	9:24 17:13	Richard 1:12	satisfac
5:18 20:9 21:1 22:13	17:20	resolution	Rick 21:1	9:6 12:3
1	relief 6:6	3:11,14,20	right 15:16	satisfied
quick 20:10	18:23	4:7,19,20	20:25	9:13 15:24
R	remain 11:24 12:1 13:19	4:7,19,20	20:23	satisfy 9:14
R2:1,1,6	i .	15:1 18:20	rights 18:22	saying 22:7
28:2	removal 9:8	19:4,12	Rinzler 1:12	says 21:20
rate 5:3	11:21 12:4	20:1,1	20:10,16	24:23
14:9 19:9	12:4	22:15 23:5	20:25 21:2	scenic 7:18
read 5:21,23	remove 13:19	23:19 24:6	25:15,18	schedule
11:6,7	removed	24:8,11	25:20,21	10:13,25
21:21 25:6	14:17	1	ROAD 2:9	12:4 14:7
25:17	rental 14:11	25:5,7,8	roads 21:4	22:3
reading	16:15	25:11,14		school 17:23
16:18 20:6	19:10	26:24	roadway 14:3 Robert 1:13	Schweitzer
26:19	repeating	respect 3:24 4:24 17:15	Rochat 1:12	22:17,19
reads 21:25	24:17		3:1,17,21	23:13
reads 21:25	replacem	responsi	4:13 5:17	24:14,17
ready 17:14 reason 20:17	12:25	responsible	6:2 16:23	second 20:2
23:19	Reporter 2:3	10:6,10,19	20:5,8	25:7,15,18
reasonable	28:5,20	17:1 19:13	21:1,11,13	Secretary
12:9,25	REPORTING	21:25 22:8	21:17,11,13	1:14,17
15:17	1:25		22:11	19:7 24:19
recall 3:6	represented	restricted 16:16,20	23:11,22	25:20,22
22:25	23:16 24:3	restricting	24:5,13,19	25:24 26:1
receiving	request		24:3,13,13	26:3,5,7,9
Tecerand	15:17	11:25	47.44	20.0,0,7,7
l	1		•	•

				1 490 00
26:11	spaces 9:19	subject 6:7	terms 15:22	traffic 6:18
security	9:22,23	7:16 8:12	19:21	6:18 7:24
8:15 10:12	10:21	8:17 10:2	test11:4,8	11:25
22:2	species	11:19	12:13	13:11,14
see 3:22 4:7	12:24,25	13:10,13	testimony	17:24
selected	13:3,19	13:16,23	14:23	trailer
20:12,14	specific 5:7	18:3	thank 20:7	14:14,17
20:17,24	20:2	submission	21:10	transcript
sense 5:13	specified	9:15	26:12,16	28:7
sentence	9:12 16:2	submit 7:13	26:17,18	treatment
11:7 21:19	stake 11:18	8:11,14	27:5,7	8:6 10:11
21:21	standard 5:7	9:3 10:1	Thanks 20:5	12:14 13:4
separate	standpoint	13:8 15:13	thing 4:25	18:1 22:1
19:11	4:9,23	17:17	things 3:18	tree 11:20
service 8:5	start 4:11	18:19	think 4:3,22	12:4,4,23
11:14	4:13	submitted	4:25 5:11	12:25 13:3
Services	started	4:21 15:7	5:22,23	trees 11:24
17:4	22:18	20:3	21:7 24:5	12:1
set 6:22	starting	substitu	24:24	true 28:6
10:13 15:4	5:12	13:1	26:23,24	Tuesdays
15:23 22:4	State1:1	suffice	27:2	12:16
sewer8:5	2:4 8:2	16:19	thought	turning
10:11 13:4	16:4 19:1	Suppleme	21:21	17:22
22:1	28:5	13:15	three 14:19	Tweedie $1:14$
Shana 1:17	State's 9:20	Supply 9:20	Thursdays	24:16
Sheila1:14	stated 23:6	suppose 5:22	12:16	25:13,16
shown 15:10	statement	sure 20:22	time1:9 3:8	25:24,25
silt 12:8	23:24	surveyor	4:8 17:9	26:22 27:8
similar 9:1	States 11:14	6:22	20:15	U
site 1:7 6:5	19:1	Suzanne 1:15	22:19 23:7	
7:24 13:9	stations	system 10:7	23:20	unauthor
13:12,12	9:18 10:20	10:11 22:1	26:13,15	18:18
13:16,25	10:22	systems 22:9	27:4	undertaken
15:17,21	Statute 9:20	T	times 11:1	15:12
15:24 16:1	statutes		18:17	19:11 Uniform 16:3
18:9,17,18	18:25	T2:1,1 28:2	Title 21:7	16:8 17:18
size 16:12	stay 12:8	28:2	Tom 1:12	Union 8:6
slightly	Steven 1:19	take 20:22	tonight 3:5	United 11:14
21:22	stop 5:22	25:8 taken 2:2	3:13 23:2	19:1
soil 8:6	storm 7:22	28:10	tonight's 4:22	units7:4
10:25 11:5	10:7 13:25	takes 26:25	total 7:4	14:8,11
11:8	stream 7:18	talk 22:18	total /:4	16:10,12
Somerset1:2	street 7:12	talking 24:4	5:3	16:15,15
8:6,7 19:2	11:1 structure	Tax 7:9	townhouses	16:16,19
19:4		taxes 8:21	8:25 13:6	16:20
sound 18:2	9:9	telephone	14:10 19:9	17:13,16
source 16:11	structures	7:20	20:3	use 8:17,23
18:11,14	15:9 Subdivision	tenant 17:2	tracking	9:24
sourcing		17:6,6	11:1	utilities
11:5,6,9	1:7	1,.0,0		
	T.	•	-	

Page 37

				rage Ji
7.00	22:14 24:4		4- 14:10	
7:20		2	4.02 7:23	
V	weather	2 1:15 6:15	40 15:21	
	12:11	12:16	401 7:23	
Vallone 1:14	week 12:15	20 10:9	41 16:1	
25:22,23	welcome	21:13,14	42 16:5,14	
26:17 27:2	26:21 27:8	21:17,24	43 16:24	
variance1:7	weren't24:8	202 1:7	1	l
6:6	wetlands 8:5	13:20	4417:15	l
vary 15:18	wheel 17:22	2021 6:11,11	45 17:22	l
vehicle 9:18	wholly 14:12	6:12,15,15	46 17:25	
10:20,21	wire 9:17	6:16,19,20	47 18:5	
verifica	wooded 12:19	9:15	48 18:10	
17:2,6	13:19	20221:8	49 18:16	
Vice 1:12	work 3:8	6:16		
20:10,16	15:21,25	21 10:18	5	
20:25 21:2	24:12	22 10:24	5 1:6 6:15	
25:15,18	works 8:6	11:8	7:7	
25:20,21	worms 24:24	2201:7	50 18:19	
VIDEO 1:25		236:16,19	51 18:24	
volumes 11:1	X	11:10	52 19:3	
voluntarily		14:10	53 19:8	
15:12	Y	24 11:13	54 19:14	
vote 3:19	yay 3:20	25 11:17	55 19:20,24	
4:6 22:15	Yeah 21:12	1	5th 6:12	
23:1 24:6	21:20	16:15 26 12:2		
24:7,8,25	years 14:19	1	6	
25:8		27 12:12	67:11	
voting 24:9	Z	28 12:17		
VOCE119 2 1 1 3	Zoom 2:4	29 12:22	7	
W		16:14	7 7:13	
walking	0	2nd 6:11	7:00 1:9	
12:20	070542:9	3	7:47 27:9	
want 24:23			732 1:25	
26:22 27:5	1	36:16	7TH 1:8	
wanted 4:7	1 1:15 2:9	30 13:4,24		
wants 4:22	9:15	30XI0012	8	
5:15 24:1	108:14	28:20	88:1	
waste 12:14	12:16	31 13:8		
18:1	118:19	32 13:18	9	
18:1 water 7:20	12 8:21	33 13:24	98:10	
	1217:4,4	34 14:6	907:2	
7:22 10:7	138:24	35 14:13	906-2078	
11:11	149:3	3614:21	1:25	
12:14	1	37 14:25		
13:25 18:1	16 5:6 9:11	38 15:5		
18:7	17 9:16	39 15:16		
waterproof	18 10:1	21:7		
18:2	l l		.	
way 9:11	19 6:11,20	4	-	
we'll 24:25	10:5	4 1:6 6:21	, i	
we're3:5		16:15		1
		1	l	1